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Efficacy of Extractions of Iranian Native Plants against Main Malaria Vector, Anopheles stephensi in Iran for Making Appropriate Formulation for Disease Control
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Abstract

Background: Malaria is the main vector-borne disease worldwide. There are several reports of insecticide resistant in malaria vectors worldwide due to using different insecticides. The aim of this study was to evaluate different native plant extractions against main malaria vector, Anopheles stephensi in Iran for choosing the appropriate plant for formulation and use for vector control.

Methods: The larvae of An. stephensi were reared in insectary, extraction of plants were carried out at department of Pharmacology. The standard WHO method for biological tests was used for calculation of LC50 and LC90. Probit regration lines were plotted for calculation of LC50 and LC90.

Results: In this study several plants including: Mentha spicata, Cymbopogon Olivieri, Azadirachta indica, Melia azedarach, Lagetes minuta, Calotropis procera, Eucalyptus camaldulensis, Cupressus arizonica, Thymus vulgaris, Lawsonia inermis, Cedrus deodara, Cimnura erecta, Bunium persicum, Carum carvi, Artemisia dracunculus, Rosmarinus officinalis were used. Results showed that Mentha spicata and Eucalyptus camaldulensis, had the lowest and highest LC50 respectively.

Conclusion: Results indicated that Mentha spicata and Eucalyptus camaldulensis, had the lowest and highest LC50 respectively. Several other plant extract also showed significant mortality. The formulation of these plants should be prepared and evaluate at the field condition against malaria vectors.
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Introduction

Malaria is the most important mosquito-borne disease so that an estimated 212 million cases worldwide in 2015 out of them 3,800,000 cases estimated to be happen in Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMRO). It was estimated that 429,000 deaths from malaria occurred globally including 7300 cases in EMRO. The disease in the region had 291 million people at risk, and mostly reported from 5 countries: Sudan (36%), Pakistan (27%), Somalia (18%), Afghanistan (11%) and Yemen (8%) (1). There were an estimated 219 million cases and 435 000 related deaths in 2017 (2). Insecticide resistance is becoming a problem of global importance as it threatens the significant achievements in malaria control. Dramatic increase of insecticides use in malaria vector control projects has resulted to growing trend of insecticide resistance among mosquito vectors. Currently increased attention to pyrethroids as effective and low-risk insecticides has developed the risk of resistance to this group. Nowadays there are two main interventions in malaria control programs: indoor residual spray-
Malaria in Iran

Malaria is one of the important infectious diseases in Iran with an average of about 15000 annual cases in the last decade. The most routes of malaria cases are immigration from Afghanistan and Pakistan to southern and southeastern areas of the country (Ministry of Health, annual reports). During the 2002–2017, 134,273 malaria cases were reported. The malaria incidence decreased from 0.24/1000 cases in 2002 to 0.01/1000 in 2017. From 2009 onward, the number of imported cases increased in comparison with the autochthonous and indigenus cases. Most cases were seen in males and people over 15 years of age. Moreover, the dominant registered reports were from rural areas. Most malaria cases were reported from the south and southeastern of Iran. Plasmodium vivax was the dominant species (5).

There are several activities on different aspects of malaria in the country: including insecticide resistance monitoring (6-17), using bednets and long lasting impregnated nets (18-24). Recently resistance of An. stephensi to different insecticides in malarious areas of Iran has been reported (3). The last checklist of Iranian mosquitoes shows 31 Anopheles species including sibling, biological forms and genotypes, 17 out of them are reported to be included in malaria transmission. These vectors are considered as sibling, genotype and type forms. Anopheles stephensi, An. culicifacies, An. fluviatilis, An. dthali are the main vector species of south-eastern foci, while An. sacha-rovi and An. maculipennis are included in malaria transmission in northwest focus, and An. superpictus has wide distribution in all malaria foci of the country (Fig. 1).

Seasonal activity of Anopheline mosquitoes varies in different area due to environmental condition. It shows one peak in northwest especially in summer, however, there are two peaks of activity in coastal warm and humid region in the southern part of Iran with oriental epidemiological characteristics. The chemical control of vectors now is restricted to endemic malarious areas of south-eastern part of the country with Deltamethrin and residual spraying and long lasting permethrin impregnated nets (Olyset) for personal protection, while bi-
ological control is conducting by *Bacillus thuringiensis* as larvicide. Knowledge on insecticide resistance in target species is a basic requirement to guide insecticide use in malaria control programmes in local and global scales. The main criteria for susceptibility status, which are recommended by WHO, were considered. The results showed that there is resistance to DDT and dieldrin, indication of tolerance to some tested insecticides. Agriculture in Iran remains highly sensitive to climate developments; the country's most important crops are wheat, rice and other grains, sugar beet, fruits, nuts, cotton, and tobacco, which require the use of insecticides. So far different groups of insecticides are using for crops protection in the country. The main governmental use of insecticide in the health sector is their application for adult mosquito control. The campaign against malaria vectors started with organochlorines (DDT, dieldrin and BHC) during the 1960’s, followed by organophosphates (malathion and pirimiphos-methyl) for 2 decades from 1966 and continued with the carbamate, propoxur during 1977–1990, and then with pyrethroids including lambdacyhalothrin and Deltamethrin. Temephos, Reldan and pirimiphos-methyl was used for larviciding (Ministry of Heath of Iran)

**Materials and Methods**

**Rearing of mosquito larvae**

Rearing and maintaining mosquito larvae was carried out in the temperature of 29±2 °C and relative humidity of 70±10% and Light dark cycle of 16h light and 8h was performed in Culicidae insectarium of the School of Public Health Tehran University of Medical Sciences. The larvae of the late 3rd stage or early 4th stage of *An. stephensi* were used for larvicial tests. *Anopheles stephensi* larvae used in this study were obtained from the laboratory of the “School of Public Health and Institute of Health Research” Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. They were reared under insectary conditions at 25±1, 12/12h (light/dark) photo- period and 50–70% relative humidity and were fed with 10% sucrose solution. The late 3rd and early 4th instar larvae were used for the tests. The sucrose solution was withdrawn from the cage, 14h prior to the tests.

**Biological tests (larvicidal)**

The standard WHO method for biological tests was used. The overall temperature of the lab (28 °C), test period (24h) and the number of larvae (25 in each 400cc beaker) has to be constant. The best age range of the larvae for the tests are the larvae of the late 3rd stage or early 4th stage range and preferably dechlorinated water should be used in the tests. At least 5 logarithmic concentrations should be made of the EO. In order to find the suitable concentration first the concentrations should be chosen in a larger domain and based on the results the concentration domain becomes narrower. Usually the concentration in which has the 50% relative mortality and two concentrations lower than it and two concentrations upper than it are used to draw that regression line diagram. In each test 5 concentrations of pesticide and for each concentration 4 repetitions and in general 2 witnesses are considered.

**Statistical analysis**

The test results after 24h were read as the following way: the number of alive larvae, the number of dead larvae, the number of moribund larvae, number of larvae and the total number and the results were used to draw the mortality tables. The mortal quantities of 50% and 90% of EOs (LC50 and LC90) and the level of confidence of 95%, the equation of the regression line will be estimated using a regression probit analysis as described by Finney (25). When the mortality of the witness group is less than 5% then the resulted data of biometric tests have been correct but if the mortality of the witness group is between 5% to 20% they have to be corrected line. The percentage mortality was calculated using Abbot’s formula (26).
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Extraction

Solvent fractionation dried whole samples (300g) were extracted with 80% methanol (MeOH, 6x1.5L) in a percolator at room temperature for 2 weeks. The combined extract was concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure at 40 °C. The MeOH extract was successively dissolved in 100mL MeOH: H2O (7: 3) and extracted Mosquito rearing and evaluation with petroleum ether (4x200mL), chloroform (CHC13, 4x200mL), H2O-saturated ethyl acetate (EtOAc, 4x200mL) and H2O-saturated n-butanol (n-BuOH, 4x200mL) in separatory funnel. Each fraction together with the remaining MeOH part after the solvent fractionation, were then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, at 40 °C. The MeOH extract was successively dissolved in 100mL MeOH: H2O (7: 3) and extracted Mosquito rearing and evaluation with petroleum ether (4x200mL), chloroform (CHC13, 4x200mL), H2O-saturated ethyl acetate (EtOAc, 4x200mL) and H2O-saturated n-butanol (n-BuOH, 4x200mL) in separatory funnel. Each fraction together with the remaining MeOH part after the solvent fractionation, were then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure at 40 °C for the purpose of test fraction. All solvents were purchased from Merck (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

List and Identification of plants

In this study the different extractions of the following Iranian native plants were evaluated against main malaria vector, An. Stephensi, Mentha spicata, Cymbopogon olivieri, Azadirachta indica, Melia azedarach, Lageres minuta, Calotropis procera, Eucalyptus camaldulensis, Cupressus arizonica, Thymus vulgaris, Lawsonia inermis, Cedrus deodara, Cionura erecta, Bunium persicum, Carum carvi, Artemisia dracunculus, Rosmarinus officinalis.

Results

Results of efficacy of different Iranian native plants against malaria vector An. stephensi at the LC50 and LC90 levels are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 2. From these results it can be concluded that Mentha spicata and Eucalyptus camaldulensis, had the lowest and highest LC50 respectively.

Table 1. Efficacy of different plants extract against Anopheles stephensi at the LC50 and LC90 level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component name</th>
<th>LC50 (mg/l)</th>
<th>LC90 (mg/l)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mentha spicata</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hajiakjoondi A et al. (2000) (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azadirachta indica</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>Vatandoost H, et al. (2004) (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melia azedarach</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>34.90</td>
<td>Hadjiakhoondi A, et al. (2006) (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagetes minuta L (dried plant)</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>Hadjiakhoondi A, et al. (2008) (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagetes minuta L (fresh plant)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>Hadjiakhoondi A, et al. (2008) (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calotropis procera (Fresh latex)</td>
<td>13.06</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>Shahi M, et al. (2010) (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus camaldulensis (Methanol extract)</td>
<td>397.75</td>
<td>3085.18</td>
<td>Sedaghat M, et al. (2010) (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus camaldulensis (essential oil)</td>
<td>89.85</td>
<td>215.26</td>
<td>Sedaghat M, et al. (2010) (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupressus Arizona E.L. (leaf essential oil)</td>
<td>79.30</td>
<td>238.89</td>
<td>SedaghatM, et al. (2011) (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centaurea bruguierana ssp. belangerana</td>
<td>15.70</td>
<td>48.34</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2011) (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargassum swartzii</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>53.47</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2011) (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepeta menthoide (methanol extract)</td>
<td>69.54</td>
<td>175.55</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2012) (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepeta menthoide (essential oil )</td>
<td>234.35</td>
<td>419.86</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2012) (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymus vulgaris (methanol extract)</td>
<td>191.33</td>
<td>503.98</td>
<td>Khanavi M et al. (2013) (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawsonia inermis (methanol extract)</td>
<td>69.40</td>
<td>158.75</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2013) (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedrus deodara (methanol extract)</td>
<td>128.04</td>
<td>292.87</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2013) (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stachys trinervis (methanol extract)</td>
<td>210.42</td>
<td>604.04</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2013) (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stachys inflate (methanol extract)</td>
<td>195.84</td>
<td>392.81</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2013) (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stachys setifera (methanol extract)</td>
<td>181.62</td>
<td>352.35</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2013) (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stachys laxa (methanol extract)</td>
<td>269.64</td>
<td>602.6</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2013) (38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Continued …

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant Name</th>
<th>LC50 (mg/L)</th>
<th>LC90 (mg/L)</th>
<th>Source (Year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Stachys persica</em> (methanol extract)</td>
<td>282.8</td>
<td>515.94</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2013) (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stachys subaphylla</em> (methanol extract)</td>
<td>252.60</td>
<td>592.37</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2013) (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stachys byzantine</em> (methanol extract)</td>
<td>103.29</td>
<td>276.99</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2013) (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stachys turcamanica</em> (methanol extract)</td>
<td>253.45</td>
<td>549.05</td>
<td>Khanavi M, et al. (2013) (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cionura erecta</em> (essential oil)</td>
<td>77.30</td>
<td>199.58</td>
<td>Mozaffari E, et al. (2014) (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cionura erecta</em> (methanol extract)</td>
<td>250.38</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>Mozaffari E, et al. (2014) (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ferulago carduchorum</em> (essential oil)</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>47.43</td>
<td>Golfakhrabadi F, et al. (2015) (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Carum carvi</em> (seeds)</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>72.44</td>
<td>Torabi Pour H, et al. (2016) (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rosmarinus officinalis</em> (branches and Leaves)</td>
<td>93.22</td>
<td>229.29</td>
<td>Torabi Pour H, et al. (2016) (42)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.1. Map of Distribution of malaria vectors in Iran

Fig.2. Efficacy of different plants extract against *Anopheles stephensi* at the LC50 and LC90 level
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Discussion

Most botanical components are rapid acting and breakdown quickly in the environment. The extract of whole leaf and essential oil of some certain plants have been investigated against some public health pests. The use of botanical pesticide may help in reducing the environmental side effects by the synthetic insecticides. The results obtained suggest that the extracts of different Iranian native plants may be a promising as larvicide against An. stephensi. There are many researches in the field. In other investigation, Nathan et al. (2007) (43) reported that the larvicidal activity of essential oil from Eucalyptus tereticornis Sm. with LC50 and LC90 values were 23.8 and 63.9ppm respectively against An. stephensi larvae. There are some reports about the resistance to these chemicals in mosquitoes. Therefore we need to identify alternative insecticide substances from natural products. Many scientists reported insecticidal activities of plants belong to different families in different parts of the world. There are several native reports about crude solvent extracts of different parts of plants, essential oils or their chromatographic fractions. They showed various levels of bioactivity against different developmental stages of malaria vectors (44). Some plants have phytochemicals constituents for the control of mosquitoes. One of the earliest reports of the use of plant extracts against mosquito larvae is extraction of plants’ alkaloids like nicotine, anabasine, methyl anabasine and lupinine from the Russian weed in 1933 (45). Some plant families such as Asteraceae, Cladophoraceae, Labiatae, Meliaceae, Oocystaceae and Rutaceae have the maximum potential for development of novel mosquito control agents (46). The genus Lawsonia has one species, Lawsonia inermis (47-48). Henna’s leaves, flowers, seeds, stem barks and roots had been used in Iran to treat some diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, headache, ulcers, diarrhea, leprosy, fever, leucorrhoea, diabetes, cardiac disease. It had hepatoprotective effect and been used as colouring agent too (49).

Conclusion

Due to larvicidal effect of some Iranian native plants against malaria vector, production of specific formulation is required for evaluation under filed condition.
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