

Review Article

Impact of Omics Studies on Understanding Insecticide Resistance Mechanisms in Sub-Saharan Malaria Vectors: A Systematic Review

Yetunde Grace Orogun¹, *Olubanke Olujoke Ogunlana¹, Damilare Isaiah Taiwo², Olatomide Ayodeji Fadare³

¹Department of Biochemistry, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria

²Department of Biological Science, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria

³Department of Chemistry, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: Prof Olubanke Olujoke Ogunlana, E-mail:
olubanke.ogunlana@covenantuniversity.edu.ng

(Received 21 July 2025; accepted 28 Sep 2025)

Abstract

Background: Malaria remains a major global health challenge, disproportionately affecting sub-Saharan Africa. The growing threat of resistance to insecticides in *Anopheles* vector populations poses a major challenge to the efficacy of core interventions such as long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS). This systematic review aimed to evaluate the contribution of omics approaches, particularly genomics, transcriptomics, and multi-omics, to understanding the resistance mechanisms in malaria vectors in sub-Saharan Africa and their impact on current and future vector control strategies.

Methods: A comprehensive search was conducted using PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar for eligible studies published between January 2016 and April 2025. Studies using at least one omics approach to investigate resistance in *Anopheles* species were included. We extracted and analyzed data on study location, vector species, omics methods, insecticide classes, resistance mechanisms, and key findings according to PRISMA guidelines.

Results: Twenty-two studies met the inclusion criteria. Genomic and transcriptomic approaches revealed key resistance mechanisms, notably involving metabolic resistance, target-site mutations, and cuticular changes. Multi-omics studies uncovered novel resistance markers such as CYP450 reductase (CPR), UDP-glycosyltransferases (UGTs), and salivary gland proteins. Multi-country collaborations were common, reflecting the cross-border nature of insecticide resistance, while species-specific responses highlighted localized adaptation.

Conclusion: Omics studies have significantly enhanced the understanding of resistance to insecticides among malaria vectors, offering valuable insights for molecular diagnostics and region-specific vector control. Integrating these approaches into routine surveillance is crucial to inform sustainable malaria control and elimination strategies.

Keywords: Malaria; *Anopheles*; Omics approaches; Insecticide resistance; Vector control

Introduction

Malaria is a leading vector-borne disease worldwide, with an estimated 263 million cases and approximately 597,000 deaths reported in 2023 (1). The burden is disproportionately concentrated in sub-Saharan Africa, with countries like Nigeria, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Uganda, Mozambique and Ethiopia collectively accounting for nearly half of the global malaria incidence (1, 2). Malaria transmission is closely linked to the presence and

efficiency of *Anopheles* mosquitoes, which serve as the primary vectors of *Plasmodium* parasites (3, 4). Vector control strategies have played a critical role in reducing transmission, particularly the use of insecticide-based interventions such as long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS) (5). These interventions rely primarily on insecticides, including pyrethroids, carbamates, organophosphates, and organochlorines (6–8).

However, the prolonged use of insecticides has driven the emergence and rapid spread of resistance among *Anopheles* populations. Resistance to all major insecticide classes has been documented, compromising intervention efficacy and threatening progress toward malaria elimination (9–11). *Anopheles* mosquitoes have evolved various resistance mechanisms, which can be broadly classified into metabolic resistance, target-site resistance, reduced cuticular penetration and behavioral avoidance (12–14). Of these, metabolic resistance is the most prevalent, characterized by the overproduction of detoxification enzymes, such as Cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (CYP450), esterases, and glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs), which neutralize insecticides before they can exert toxic effects (15–17).

Target-site resistance involves mutations that alter insecticide binding sites (14, 18). Notably, knockdown resistance (kdr) mutations in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene (VGSC), such as L1014F and L1014S (19, 20). Reduced cuticular penetration limits insecticide absorption through cuticle thickening or biochemical modifications, often involving genes such as CYP4G16 (21–23). Behavioral resistance, though less understood, involves altered mosquito behaviors that reduce contact with insecticide-treated surfaces (24, 25).

The widespread rise of insecticide resistance undermines current malaria control strategies, especially in regions with high pyrethroid resistance. It diminishes the impact of key interventions, while also affecting mosquito fitness, survival and transmission potential (12). Thus, understanding the molecular basis of resistance is essential to the development of sustainable vector control measures. While traditional bioassays can detect resistance phenotypes, they cannot identify the underlying molecular mechanisms. Several biochemical and molecular studies have also investigated insecticide resistance in *Anopheles* populations in Africa, including Nigeria (26, 27). While such studies provide valuable insights, this review focuses specifi-

cally on omics-based approaches, which provide deeper resolution into the genetic and transcriptomic drivers of resistance. Recent advances in omics technologies, particularly genomics and transcriptomics, have provided powerful tools for exploring the complexity of insecticide resistance. In addition to confirming well-established mechanisms, omics studies have uncovered novel resistance pathways involving salivary gland proteins, structural and behavioral genes and epigenetic regulators (24, 28). This systematic review synthesizes current evidence from omics-based studies investigating insecticide resistance in *Anopheles* mosquitoes across sub-Saharan Africa. It highlights the specific omics tools applied, key resistance mechanisms identified, along with their significant impact for advancing vector control strategies and resistance surveillance.

Materials and Methods

Literature search strategy

This systematic review was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Fig. 1). A comprehensive search was performed across three major databases: Google Scholar, PubMed and Web of Science, targeting peer-reviewed articles published between January 2016 and April 2025 to ensure inclusion of the most recent studies available at the time of the review. The literature search was conducted in May 2025 and the retrieved records were exported for screening. As databases are continuously updated, the search output may differ if repeated at a later date. Search terms were developed using combinations of relevant keywords such as “*Anopheles*”, “insecticide resistance”, “resistance mechanism”, “Genomics”, “whole genome sequencing”, “Transcriptomics”, “RNA sequencing” and “Multi omics”. Boolean operators “OR” and “AND” were applied appropriately to refine search results. The full PubMed search string is provided in Supplementary File 1 for

reproducibility. Although the search was global, studies conducted in sub-Saharan Africa were prioritized during the selection due to the region's high malaria burden. Additionally, reference lists of relevant studies were manually reviewed to identify potentially missed studies. Only articles that applied at least one omics technique to investigate insecticide resistance in *Anopheles* mosquitoes were included in the review.

Eligibility criteria

Eligibility was guided by the PICO framework (Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome) as presented in Table 1. Studies not conducted in sub-Saharan Africa, those not involving *Anopheles* species, or lacking omics methodology were excluded.

Data extraction

Two reviewers independently screened all retrieved records. Duplicate entries were removed using Mendeley Reference Manager. Titles and abstracts were initially assessed for relevance, followed by full-text screening of articles meeting the inclusion criteria. Data were systematically extracted using a standardized template that included the following: author (s), publication year, country of study, omics approach used, *Anopheles* species studied, insecticide class investigated, resistance mechanisms identified and key findings relevant to vector control. Any discrepancies between reviewers were resolved through discussion and consensus, ensuring accuracy and consistency in the extracted data. Descriptive statistics were performed using Microsoft Excel 2021. Bar charts were used to visualize the species distribution, while pie charts illustrated the geographic distribution of studies and omics techniques employed.

Results

Study selection

A total of 539 records were retrieved through the database search. After removing duplicates (n=32), 507 unique records were screened. Following title and abstract review, 343 articles were excluded for not meeting the inclusion criteria. Full texts of 164 articles were assessed for eligibility, and 22 studies published between 2016 and April 2025 met all inclusion criteria and were included in the systematic review. The selection process is illustrated in the PRISMA flow diagram (Fig. 1).

Study characteristics

The included studies were conducted across multiple countries in sub-Saharan Africa, providing broad geographical representation. Table 2 summarizes the characteristics of the selected studies, including the *Anopheles* species studied, omics approaches applied, insecticide classes investigated, identified resistance mechanisms, and key findings. The included studies also examined various insecticide classes. Pyrethroids were the most studied, particularly deltamethrin and permethrin, due to their widespread use in LLINs and IRS. Several studies also evaluated resistance to carbamates, organophosphates and organochlorines.

Geographic analysis revealed that 13 of the 22 studies were multi-country collaborations in sub-Saharan Africa. Ethiopia and Burkina Faso each contributed two country-specific studies, while Ghana, Uganda, Côte d'Ivoire, Benin and Tanzania contributed one study each, as presented in Fig. 2. This pattern indicates a strong regional focus and emphasizes collaborative research efforts in areas with high malaria transmission and resistance prevalence.

The most frequently studied mosquito vector was *An. funestus* (n=9), followed by *An. gambiae* (n=7) and *An. coluzzii* (n=4). These species are primary malaria vectors in Africa due to their wide distribution and high vectorial capacity. Less frequently studied species included *An. stephensi* (n= 2), a recently emerging urban vector and *An. arabiensis* (n=1). Several studies also examined *An. gambiae*

s.l. (n=3), which includes multiple sibling species such as *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*, it is shown in Fig. 3.

Genomics was the most common omics approach (n=9), followed by transcriptomics (n=7) and multi-omics, which combines both genomic and transcriptomic analyses (n=6), as presented in Fig. 4.

Genomics studies primarily utilize whole-genome sequencing (WGS), single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) detection and copy number variation (CNV) analysis to uncover target-site mutations and detoxification gene amplifications. Transcriptomics involved RNA

sequencing (RNA-seq) and quantitative PCR (qPCR) to assess gene expression changes associated with metabolic, cuticular and behavioral resistance. Multi-omics approaches provided a more integrative view, identifying networks of co-regulated genes and linking genomic alterations to transcriptional responses.

Across these studies, the most commonly identified resistance mechanisms included over-expression of CYP450s, GSTs, and esterases, target-site mutations in the VGSC gene and increased expression of cuticular and behavioral genes.

Table 1. Eligibility criteria using the PICO framework

Pico element	Description
Population	<i>Anopheles</i> species populations exhibiting insecticide resistance.
Intervention	Uses of omics tools (genomics, transcriptomics) to investigate resistance.
Comparison	Comparative analyses between insecticide-resistant and susceptible <i>Anopheles</i> populations
Outcome	Identification of resistance mechanisms, discovery of molecular targets for novel interventions and Improvement of vector control strategies based on omics studies.




Fig. 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram showing the study selection process of included articles

Table 2. Summary of included studies investigating insecticide resistance mechanisms in *Anopheles* spp. using Omics approaches

Authors (year)	Omics Approaches	Countries	Vector species	Insecticide studies	Resistance mechanism identified/mutation	Key findings	Citation
Riveron et al. (2016)	Transcriptomic	Ghana	<i>Anopheles funestus</i> s.s.,	Pyrethroid, Carbamates, DDT, Organophosphate	Metabolic resistance via overexpression of P450s and GSTe2; also, target site resistance via LL19F-GSTe2 and A296S-RDL mutations.	The study reported multiple resistance in <i>An. funestus</i> showing high resistance to pyrethroids, DDT, and carbamates with different molecular mechanisms.	(29)
Barnes et al. (2017)	Genomics (whole genome sequencing)	Multi-country (Africa-wide)	<i>An. funestus</i>	Pyrethroids	Metabolic resistance via overexpression and selective sweep of CYP6P9a (P450)	Identified a strong selective sweep on chromosome 2R around the cyp6p9a gene in southern African populations post LLIN implementation.	(30)
Ingham et al. (2018)	Transcriptomic	Multi-country (Africa-wide)	<i>An. gambiae</i> s.l., <i>An. coluzzii</i> , <i>An. arabiensis</i>	Pyrethroid	Metabolic resistance via overexpression of GSTs, cytochrome P450s, and carboxylesterases	Novel mechanisms, including overexpression of α -crystallins and hexamerins, which are known to be associated with binding and storage roles.	(31)
Isaacs et al. (2018)	Transcriptomic	Uganda	<i>An. gambiae</i> s.l.	Carbamates (Bendiocarb)	Novel non-enzymatic resistance Novel sequestration mechanism via overexpression of D7 salivary proteins and overexpression of cuticle protein.	Identification of significant overexpression of salivary gland proteins (D7r2, D7r4) in resistant mosquitoes and in silico modelling showed potential for D7 proteins to bind insecticides.	(32)
Lucas et al. (2019)	Genomic (Whole genome sequencing)	Multi-country (African countries)	<i>Anopheles gambiae</i>	Pyrethroid, DDT, Organophosphates, and carbamates	Metabolic resistance via Copy number variants (CNV) in detoxification genes.	Highlight CNVs as a critical but unrecognized mechanism of metabolic resistance.	(33)
Yared et al (2020)	Genomics	Ethiopia	<i>An. stephensi</i>	DDT, pyrethroid, Organophosphate, and carbamates.	Absence of the kdr mutation suggests Metabolic resistance as the primary resistance mechanism.	The study provides information about <i>An. stephensi</i> resistance to several insecticides and also identify the absence of <i>ace-1</i> mutation in the genotyped <i>An. stephensi</i> .	(34)
Clarkson et al. (2021)	Genomics	Multi-country (African countries)	<i>An. gambiae</i> and <i>An. coluzzii</i>	Pyrethroids	Target site resistance resulting from mutations in the VGSC gene.	Identification of 20 novel alleles (non-synonymous substitution), A novel mutation I1527T was found in tight linkage with V402L substitutions was also identified in <i>An. coluzzii</i> from 4 countries.	(35)
Hearn et al. (2021)	Multi Omics (Genomics and Transcriptomics)	Multi-country (East Africa)	<i>An. funestus</i>	Pyrethroids	Metabolic resistance: Overexpression of cytochrome P450	The study identifies that the haplotype of cytochrome P450, <i>CYP9K1</i> , drives pyrethroid resistance in <i>An. funestus</i> .	(36)
Ingham et al. (2021)	Multi Omics (Genomics and Transcriptomics)	Burkina Faso	<i>An. coluzzii</i>	Deltamethrin, permethrin, DDT	Metabolic resistance and Elevated respiration/metabolism, oxidative stress, cuticular protein overexpression, SNP clusters, 2Rb inversion,	Resistance is linked to increased expression of oxidative phosphorylation genes, elevated respiration rate, 2Rb/2Rc inversions, and altered microbiome.	(37)

Table 2. Continued ... and microbiome shifts.

Kouamo et al. (2021)	Transcriptomics	Multi-country (African countries)	<i>An. funestus</i>	DDT, Permethrin and Deltamethrin	Metabolic resistance via overexpression of glutathione-S-transferase (GST)	The GST genes are differentially overexpressed in resistant populations across Africa.	(38)
Njoroge et al. (2022)	Genomics	Multi-country (African countries)	<i>An. gambiae</i>	Pyrethroids	Metabolic resistance (mutation within cytochrome P450 genes)	Identified a triple mutant haplotype associated with high-level pyrethroid resistance. Confirmed overexpression and metabolic activity of CYP6P4 and CYP6AA1.	(39)
Mugenzi et al. (2023)	Transcriptomics	Multi-country (African countries)	<i>An. funestus</i>	Carbamate Pyrethroid	Metabolic resistance (overexpression of cytochrome P450s, GSTs, transcription factors, ATP-binding cassette transporters)	The CYP6P9a and CYP6P9b are overexpressed in Malawi, and the CYP6P4a and CYP6P4b genes are overexpressed in resistant <i>An. funestus</i> in Ghana	(40)
Zoh et al. (2023)	Transcriptomics	Côte d'Ivoire	<i>An. gambiae</i>	Pyrethroid (Deltamethrin and Transfluthrin)	Target site insensitivity (kdr mutation) Metabolic resistance (differential transcription of detoxification enzymes)	There are over-transcribed genes in both insecticides, particularly the transfluthrin, which has multiple detoxification enzymes, and over-transcribed cuticle protein	(41)
Lucas et al. (2023)	Genome sequencing (GWAS)	Multi-country (West Africa)	<i>An. gambiae</i> , <i>An. coluzzii</i>	Deltamethrin, Pirimiphos-methyl (PM)	Target site mutations, metabolic resistance via CNVs.	Resistance is variable and highly multiallelic between populations.	(42)
Kientega et al. (2024)	Genomics	Burkina Faso	<i>An. gambiae</i> ,	Pyrethroid and organophosphates	Target site resistance (over-expressed Pyrethroid resistance target site allele in <i>An. gambiae</i>) Metabolic resistance to organophosphates was also identified, and an SNP in <i>An. gambiae</i> . Metabolic resistance	Identification of novel voltage-gated sodium channel (VGSC) target site alleles at increasing frequencies along known alleles in <i>An. gambiae</i> .	(43)
Samake et al. (2024)	Genomics	Ethiopia	<i>An. stephensi</i>	Pyrethroids, Organophosphates, carbamates, Temephos	Target site mutation in DDT (knock-down resistance)	High resistance to pyrethroids and carbamates, but susceptible to organophosphates (primiphos-methyl)	(44)
Odero et al. (2024)	Genomics	Tanzania	<i>An. funestus</i>	DDT, Deltamethrin	Target site mutation in DDT (knock-down resistance)	The study discovers eight novel VGSC mutation 1976F (knockdown resistance), which showed association with resistance to DDT, not deltamethrin insecticide.	(45)
Al-Yazeedi et al. (2024)	Multi Omics (Genomics and Transcriptomics)	Multi-country (sub-Saharan Africa)	<i>An. funestus</i>	Pyrethroid (Permethrin)	Novel mechanism involving overexpression and nonsynonymous mutation in the UDP-glycosyltransferase (UGTs) genes.	The study reveals the role of UGTs in pyrethroid resistance and also reveals that they may contribute to cross-resistance.	(46)
Saizonou et al. (2024)	Transcriptomics	Benin	<i>An. gambiae</i>	Pyrethroids, Organophosphate	Metabolic resistance (differential expression of detoxification enzymes), overexpression of cuticular and Salivary gland proteins when	The study suggests that overexpression of salivary and cuticle proteins contributes to cross-resistance in multiple classes of insecticides.	(47)

Table 2. Continued						
Ingham et al. (2024)	Multi Omics (Genomics and Transcriptomics)	Multi-country (sub-Saharan Africa)	<i>An. gambiae</i> s.l and <i>An. funestus</i>	Pyrethroids	comparing resistant and susceptible mosquitoes. Metabolic resistance, cuticular resistance/ behavioral genes.	Highlights many known and novel resistance genes from detoxification, cuticle, and emphasize http://jad.tums.ac.ir Published Online: September 30, 2025 (48)
Nagi and Ingham (2025)	Multi Omics (Genomics and Transcriptomics)	Multi-country (African countries)	<i>An. gambiae</i> s.l and <i>An. funestus</i>	Pyrethroids, Organophosphates	Metabolic resistance (Overexpression of P450s, GSTs, COEs), Cuticular resistance, Oxidative stress response, and transcriptional regulation.	Provide a correlation between genetic diversity and overexpressed genes that are associated with selective sweep loci, and also created AnoExpress for data exploration. (49)
Gadji et al. (2025)	Multi Omics (Genomics and Transcriptomics)	Multi-country (African countries)	<i>An. funestus</i>	Pyrethroid	- Metabolic resistance: overexpression of detoxification genes, P450s, and esterase. Overexpression of cuticular proteins. - Overexpression of novel genes (V-ATPase, Tubulin alpha-1, transposase) Overexpression of epigenetic regulators (histone H3/4, glycine N-methyltransferase in resistant mosquitoes. Novel Genomic variations at the cytochrome P450 reductase (CPR) gene	Novel genes like V-ATPase, tubulin α -1, transposase, and epigenetic regulators are highly overexpressed in resistant mosquitoes Variation in the cytochrome P450 reductase (CPR) gene, particularly the N701 mutation, when co-expressed with CYP6P9a-P450, enhanced pyrethroid resistance. (50)




Fig. 2. Distribution of Omics-based insecticide resistance studies by country in Sub-Saharan Africa

<http://jad.tums.ac.ir>
 Published Online: September 30, 2025




Fig. 3. Number of Omics studies by *Anopheles* species




Fig. 4. Omics approaches used to investigate insecticide resistance in *Anopheles* species

Discussion

The widespread emergence of insecticide resistance is a major challenge for malaria vector control, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, where vector-borne transmission remains intense. Understanding the resistance mechanism is crucial for developing novel, sustainable con-

trol strategies. While traditional phenotypic assays remain valuable for resistance surveillance,

they offer limited insight into the genetic and biochemical mechanisms (51). This review highlights how omics technologies, genomics, transcriptomics and multi-omics have advanced our understanding of resistance mechanisms in

Anopheles mosquitoes and revealed both well-established and novel contributors to resistance, as shown in Table 2.

Genomics studies were the most widely applied, as presented in Fig. 4, often utilizing whole-genome sequencing (WGS), single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis and copy number variation (CNV) mapping to detect selective sweeps and mutations associated with metabolic resistance. For instance, Barnes et al. (30) reported a selective sweep around the CYP6P9a gene in *An. funestus* from Africa, indicating intense selection pressure from insecticide exposure. Njoroge et al. (39) confirmed widespread overexpression of CYP450 genes in *An. gambiae* across several African countries, while Lucas et al. (33) conducted genome sequencing across multiple countries and identified copy number variants (CNVs) in detoxification gene clusters as a previously under-recognized but important mechanism of metabolic resistance in *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*. In a follow-up study, Lucas et al. (42) further demonstrated that CNVs contribute to high levels of metabolic resistance in both *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*, underscoring their broad relevance across species and regions. These findings demonstrate that metabolic resistance is both widespread and driven by complex genetic changes such as gene amplifications and selective sweeps, particularly in CYP450 gene families, and highlight the importance of genomic surveillance in identifying emerging resistance threats.

Target-site resistance was also frequently uncovered through genomic studies and it has revealed multiple novel kdr-associated alleles. Clarkson et al. (35) identified 20 novel mutations in the VGSC gene, including I1527T and V402L, which are strongly associated with knockdown resistance (kdr) in *An. coluzzii* across Africa. Similarly, Kientega et al. (43) reported the emergence of novel VGSC alleles in *An. gambiae* populations in Burkina Faso, further confirming the role of target-site mutations in pyrethroid resistance. In a related study, Ode-

ro et al. (45) identified eight novel VGSC mutations in *An. funestus* populations from Tanzania. These findings indicate that VGSC mutations are not only widespread but also evolving independently across different vector species and regions in response to insecticide pressure.

Transcriptomics approaches provided insights into gene expression changes associated with detoxification, cuticular modification, salivary gland expression and oxidative stress responses. Riveron et al. (29) demonstrated the overexpression of CYP450s and GSTe2 in *An. funestus* from Ghana, in conjunction with target-site mutations, highlights the coexistence of multiple resistance mechanisms. These studies also suggest that insecticide resistance can be mediated by various mechanisms simultaneously, rather than through a single pathway. Similarly, Kouamo et al. (38) and Mugenzi et al. (40) further supported the role of GST genes and P450s in *An. funestus* populations across multiple African countries. Additionally, Zoh et al. (41) identified over-transcription of detoxification and cuticular protein genes in *An. gambiae* exposed to deltamethrin and transfluthrin in Côte d'Ivoire, illustrating the combined role of metabolic and cuticular resistance. Multi-omics approaches provided an integrative perspective by correlating genomic variation with transcriptomic profiles, uncovering resistance networks that are not easily discernible using single-omics methods, enabling the identification of both known and novel mechanisms. Hearn et al. (52) linked a CYP9K1 haplotype to resistance in *An. funestus*, supported by elevated gene expression. Ingham et al. (37, 48) demonstrated co-expression of metabolic, cuticular and behavioral genes in *An. coluzzii* and *An. gambiae* s.l., emphasizing the multi-layered nature of resistance and the role of transcriptional regulation.

This review also identified unconventional resistance mechanisms. Transcriptomic analysis by Ingham et al. (54) reported overexpression of hexamerins and α -crystallins, proteins

typically involved in storage and stress responses, now implicated in insecticide sequestration. Isaacs et al. (31) highlighted the role of salivary gland proteins, specifically the D7 family, in *An. gambiae*. Supporting this, Sazonou et al. (47) in Benin demonstrated concurrent overexpression of salivary gland and cuticular proteins in *An. gambiae*. Behavioral adaptations such as the upregulation of sensory appendage proteins in mosquito legs may enhance avoidance of insecticide-treated surfaces, as shown by Ingham et al. (41) and Zoh et al. (48).

Multi-omics approaches further expanded our understanding of novel metabolic pathways. For example, Al-Yazeedi et al. (46) identified the overexpression of UDP-glycosyltransferases (UGTs), enzymes involved in Phase II detoxification, as contributors to cross-resistance in *An. funestus* populations across multiple sub-Saharan countries. Furthermore, Gadji et al. (50) identified upregulation of V-ATPase (a proton pump implicated in cuticle biosynthesis), Tubulin alpha-1 and key epigenetic regulators such as histone H3/H4 and glycine N-methyltransferase, expanding the catalog of novel resistance-associated genes.

One of the most notable findings was the emergence of CYP450 reductase (CPR) as a synergistic cofactor enhancing P450 enzyme activity. In Ghana, CPR mutations (e.g., N701) enhanced detoxification capacity when co-expressed with CYP6P9a in *An. funestus* (39, 55).

Collectively, these findings illustrate that insecticide resistance is driven not by a single mechanism, but by multilayered genetic, metabolic, structural and behavioral adaptations involving genetic, metabolic, structural and behavioral components. Also, the growing complexity of insecticide resistance highlights the importance of integrative omics approaches in revealing unconventional yet operationally relevant resistance markers for future surveillance and intervention.

Omics-based research has direct implications for designing and implementing malaria control strategies. Genomic surveillance can inform early detection of resistance mutations, enabling preemptive adaptation of insecticide strategies. Tools such as AnoExpress, developed for real-time resistance monitoring, further demonstrate the operational value of omics research (49, 59). By identifying specific resistance genes and pathways, omics data support the strategic rotation and combination of insecticides, reducing the likelihood of resistance development and preserving treatment efficacy (60, 61). Molecular markers derived from these studies also enable real-time tracking of resistance mutations, facilitating proactive, region-specific vector control (62). Finally, the identification of novel resistance-associated genes such as CPR, salivary gland proteins and oxidative phosphorylation regulators offers new targets for next-generation insecticides and synergists. Regional variations in resistance mechanisms, as reflected in Figs. 2 and 3, underscore the need for tailored interventions that align with the local vector ecology and resistance profile (51).

Beyond *Anopheles*, transcriptomic analyses in *Culex quinquefasciatus* have revealed both target-site mutations and concurrent upregulation of detoxification enzymes, including elevated CYP450s, esterases and cuticle proteins (36, 53). Similar molecular patterns have also been observed in *Drosophila melanogaster* (54) and *Triatoma infestans* (57, 58). The recurrence of these mechanisms across diverse insect taxa suggests that certain genes and pathways act as universal resistance enhancers. These cross-species parallels highlight the broader significance of omics approaches in revealing conserved resistance processes that could inform integrated pest and vector management strategies.

Although numerous biochemical and molecular studies on insecticide resistance have been conducted across Africa (26, 27), they were not included in this review because our

eligibility criteria were limited to studies employing omics methodologies such as genomics, transcriptomics and multi-omics. Nevertheless, these earlier studies remain valuable for understanding resistance mechanisms and complement the omics-based insights summarized here.

Conclusion

This review underscores the critical role of omics technologies in elucidating insecticide resistance mechanisms in malaria vectors. Omics approaches, particularly genomics and transcriptomics, have been instrumental in identifying known and novel resistance mechanisms, revealing complex molecular networks that underpin resistance phenotypes. These insights extend beyond traditional mechanisms, offering new opportunities for designing, optimizing and monitoring vector control strategies. Future research should expand multi-omics studies to understudied regions and lesser-known vector species, ensuring a more equitable and comprehensive understanding of resistance across diverse ecological settings. Ultimately, incorporating omics into routine resistance surveillance and vector management programs will be essential to sustaining the gains made in malaria control. As insecticide resistance continues to evolve, leveraging omics-based insights will be crucial to guiding evidence-based interventions, preserving the efficacy of current tools and achieving long-term malaria elimination goals.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support of Covenant University Centre for Research Innovation and Discovery (CUCRID).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. World Malaria Report (2023) World Health Organization, Geneva.
2. Li J, Docile HJ, Fisher D, Pronyuk K, Zhao L (2024) Current status of malaria control and elimination in Africa: Epidemiology, diagnosis, treatment, progress and challenges. *J Epidemiol Glob Health*. 14 (3): 561–579.
3. Weedall GD, Riveron JM, Hearn J, Irving H, Kamdem C, Fouet C, White BJ, Wondji CS (2020) An Africa-wide genomic evolution of insecticide resistance in the malaria vector *Anopheles funestus* involves selective sweeps, copy number variations, gene conversion and transposons. *PLoS Genet*. 16(6): e1008822.
4. Adediji EO, Ogunlana OO, Fatumo S, Beder T, Ajamma Y, Koenig R, Adebisi E (2020) *Anopheles* metabolic proteins in malaria transmission, prevention and control: a review. *Parasit Vectors*. 13(1): 465.
5. Chukwuekezie O, Nwosu E, Nwangwu U, Dogunro F, Onwude C, Agashi N, Ezihe Ebuka, Anioke C, Anokwu S, Eloy E, Attah P, Orizu F, Ewo S, Okoronkwo A, Joseph A, Ikeakor I, Ewo S, Okoronkwo A, Joseph A, Ikeakor I, Haruna S, Gnanguenon V (2020) Resistance status of *Anopheles gambiae* (s.l.) to four commonly used insecticides for malaria vector control in South-East Nigeria. *Parasit Vectors*. 13(1): 152.
6. World Malaria Report (2018) World Health Organization, Geneva.
7. Lu G, Traoré C, Meissner P, Kouyaté B, Kynast-Wolf G, Beiersmann C, Coulibaly B, Becher H, Muller O (2015) Safety of insecticide-treated mosquito nets for infants and their mothers: Randomized controlled community trial in Burkina Faso. *Malar J*. 14(1): 527.
8. Carlier PR, Anderson TD, Wong DM, Hsu DC, Hartsel J, Ma M, Wong EA,

- Choudhury R, Lam P, Totrov MM, Bloomquist JR (2008) Towards a species-selective acetylcholinesterase inhibitor to control the mosquito vector of malaria, *Anopheles gambiae*. Chem Biol Interact. 175(1–3): 368–375.
9. Ogunah JA, Lalah JO, Schramm KW (2020) Malaria vector control strategies. What is appropriate towards sustainable global eradication? Sustain Chem Pharm. 18: 100339.
10. Coetzee M, Koekemoer LL (2013) Molecular systematics and insecticide resistance in the major African malaria vector *Anopheles funestus*. Annu Rev Entomol. 58: 393–412.
11. Tebamifor ME, Mamudu CO, Zakari S, Adedeji E, Joel WO, Ogunlana OO (2023) Deltamethrin Resistance Profiling in *Anopheles gambiae*: A Study in Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria [Internet]. Available at: <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-3404878/v1>
12. Suh PF, Elanga-Ndille E, Tchouakui M, Sandeu MM, Tagne D, Wondji C, Ndo Cyrille (2023) Impact of insecticide resistance on malaria vector competence: a literature review. Malar J. 22(1): 19.
13. Sokhna C, Ndiath MO, Rogier C (2013) The changes in mosquito vector behaviour and the emerging resistance to insecticides will challenge the decline of malaria. Clin Microbiol Infect. 19(10): 902–907.
14. Otero JO, Nambunga IH, Wangrawa DW, Badolo A, Weetman D, Koekemoer LL, Ferguson HM, Okumu FO, Baldini F (2023) Advances in the genetic characterization of the malaria vector, *Anopheles funestus* and implications for improved surveillance and control. Malar J. 22(1): 230.
15. Wondji CS, Irving H, Morgan J, Lobo NF, Collins FH, Hunt RH, Coetzee M, Hemingway J, Ranson H (2009) Two duplicated P450 genes are associated with pyrethroid resistance in *Anopheles funestus*, a major malaria vector. Genome Res. 19(3): 452–459.
16. Hemingway J, Ranson H (2000) Insecticide resistance in insect vectors of human disease. Annu Rev Entomol. 45: 371–391.
17. Hasan AH, Author C (2020) A review: mosquito proteomics and its potential role in insecticide resistance detection. Int J Mosq Res. 7(4): 112–117
18. Zhong D, Chang X, Zhou G, He Z, Fu F, Yan Z, Zhu G, Xu T, Bonizzoni M, Wang M, Cui L, Zheng B, Chen B, Yan G (2013) Relationship between knockdown resistance, metabolic detoxification and organismal resistance to pyrethroids in *Anopheles sinensis*. PLoS One. 8(2): e55475.
19. Martinez-Torres D, Chandre F, Williamson MS, Darriet F, Bergé JB, Devonshire AL, Guilet P, Pasteur N, Parson D (1998) Molecular characterization of pyrethroid knockdown resistance (kdr) in the major malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. Insect Mol Biol. 7(2): 179–184.
20. Djogbénou L, Chandre F, Berthomieu A, Dabiré R, Koffi A, Alout H, Weill M (2008) Evidence of introgression of the ace-1R mutation and of the ace-1 duplication in West African *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. PLoS One. 3(5): e2172.
21. Ranson H, N’Guessan R, Lines J, Moiroux N, Nkuni Z, Corbel V (2011) Pyrethroid resistance in African anopheline mosquitoes: what are the implications for malaria control? Trends Parasitol. 27(2): 91–98.
22. Wood OR, Hanrahan S, Coetzee M, Koekemoer LL, Brooke BD (2010) Cuticle thickening associated with pyrethroid resistance in the major malaria vector *Anopheles funestus*. Parasit Vectors. 3 (1): 67.
23. Balabanidou V, Kampouraki A, MacLean M, Blomquist GJ, Tittiger C, Juárez MP, Mijalovsky SJ, Chalepakakis G, Anthousi

- A, Lynd A, Anotoine S, Hemingway J, Ranson H, Lycett GJ, Vontas J (2016) CYP450 associated with insecticide resistance catalyzes cuticular hydrocarbon production in *Anopheles gambiae*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 113(33): 9268–9273.
24. Manzoor Malik R, Fazal S, Khatoon N, Ishtiaq M, Batool S, Tauqeer Abbas S (2023) Perspective Chapter: Genomics, Proteomics and System Biology of Insecticides Resistance in Insects. In: Insecticides - Advances in Insect Control and Sustainable Pest Management. Intech Open. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.112662>.
 25. Zalucki M, Furlong M (2017) Behavior as a mechanism of insecticide resistance: evaluation of the evidence. Curr Opin Insect Sci. 21: 19–25.
 26. Yusuf MA, Vatandoost H, Oshaghi MA, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Manu AY, Enayati A, Ado A, Abdullahi AS, Jalo RI, Firdausi A (2021) Biochemical mechanism of insecticide resistance in malaria vector, *Anopheles gambiae* sl in Nigeria. Iran J Publ Health. 50(1): 101–110.
 27. Ahmed-Yusuf M, Vatandoost H, Oshaghi MA, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Enayati AA, Jalo RI (2020) First report of target site insensitivity in pyrethroid resistant *Anopheles gambiae* from southern Guinea savanna, northern-Nigeria. J Arthropod-Borne Dis. 14(3): 228–238.
 28. Fazal S, Manzoor Malik R, Zafar Baig A, Khatoon N, Aslam H, Zafar A, Ishtiaq M (2023) Role of mosquito microbiome in insecticide resistance. In: Mosquito Research-Recent Advances in Pathogen Interactions, Immunity and Vector Control Strategies. IntechOpen. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.104265>.
 29. Riveron JM, Osae M, Egyir-Yawson A, Irving H, Ibrahim SS, Wondji CS (2016) Multiple insecticide resistance in the major malaria vector *Anopheles funestus* in southern Ghana: Implications for malaria control. Parasit Vectors. 9(1): 504.
 30. Barnes KG, Weedall GD, Ndula M, Irving H, Mzihalowa T, Hemingway J, Wondji CS (2017) Genomic footprints of selective sweeps from metabolic resistance to pyrethroids in African malaria vectors are driven by scale-up of insecticide-based vector control. PLoS Genet. 13(2): e1006539.
 31. Ingham VA, Wagstaff S, Ranson H (2018) Transcriptomic meta-signatures identified in *Anopheles gambiae* populations reveal previously undetected insecticide resistance mechanisms. Nat Commun. 9(1): 5285.
 32. Isaacs AT, Mawejje HD, Tomlinson S, Rigden DJ, Donnelly MJ (2018) Genome-wide transcriptional analyses in *Anopheles* mosquitoes reveal an unexpected association between salivary gland gene expression and insecticide resistance. BMC Genomics. 19(1): 295.
 33. Lucas ER, Miles A, Harding NJ, Clarkson CS, Lawniczak MKN, Kwiatkowski DP, Weetman D, Donnelly MJ (2019) Whole-genome sequencing reveals high complexity of copy number variation at insecticide resistance loci in malaria mosquitoes. Genome Res. 29(8): 1250–1261.
 34. Yared S, Gebressielasie A, Damodaran L, Bonnell V, Lopez K, Janies D, Carter TE (2020) Insecticide resistance in *Anopheles stephensi* in Somali Region, eastern Ethiopia. Malar J. 19(1): 180.
 35. Clarkson CS, Miles A, Harding NJ, O'Reilly AO, Weetman D, Kwiatkowski D, Donnelly MJ (2021) The genetic architecture of target-site resistance to pyrethroid insecticides in the African malaria vectors *Anopheles gambiae* and *Anopheles coluzzii*. Mol Ecol. 30(21): 5303–5317.
 36. Hearn J, Tagne CD, Ibrahim SS, Tene-Fossog B, Mugenzi LJ, Irving H, Riveron

- JM, Wendall GD, Wondji CS (2021) Multi-omics analysis identifies a CYP9K1 haplotype conferring pyrethroid resistance in the malaria vector *Anopheles funestus* in East Africa. *Molecular Ecology*. 31(13): 3642–3657.
37. Ingham VA, Tennessen JA, Lucas ER, Elg S, Yates HC, Carson J, Moussa Guelbeogo W, Sagnon N, Hughes GL, Heinz E, Neafsey DE, Ranson H (2021) Integration of whole genome sequencing and transcriptomics reveals a complex picture of the reestablishment of insecticide resistance in the major malaria vector *Anopheles coluzzii*. *PLoS Genet*. 17 (12): e1009842.
38. Kouamo MFM, Ibrahim SS, Hearn J, Riveron JM, Kusimo M, Tchouakui M, Ebai T, Tchapgá W, Wondji MJ, Irving H, Boudjeko T, Boyom FF, Wondji CS (2021) Genome-wide transcriptional analysis and functional validation linked a cluster of epsilon glutathione S-transferases with insecticide resistance in the major malaria vector *Anopheles funestus* across Africa. *Genes*. 12(4): 561.
39. Njoroge H, van't Hof A, Oruni A, Pipini D, Nagi SC, Lynd A, Lucas ER, Tomlinson S, Grau-Bové X, McDermott D, Wat'senga FT, Manzambi EZ, Agossa FR, Moukba A, Irish S, Kabula B, Mbogo C, Bargul J, Paine MJ, Weetman D, Donnelly MJ (2022) Identification of a rapidly-spreading triple mutant for high-level metabolic insecticide resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* provides a real-time molecular diagnostic for antimalarial intervention deployment. *Mol Ecol*. 31 (16): 4307–4318.
40. Mugenzi LMJ, Tekoh TA, Ibrahim SS, Muhammad A, Kouamo M, Wondji MJ, Irving H, Hearn J, Wondji CS (2023) The duplicated P450s CYP6P9a/b drive carbamates and pyrethroids cross-resistance in the major African malaria vector *Anopheles funestus*. *PLoS Genet*. 19(3): e1010631.
41. Zoh MG, Bonneville JM, Laporte F, Tuta-gata J, Sadia CG, Fodjo BK, Mouhamadou CS, McBeath J, Schmitt F, Horstmann S, Reynaud S, David J (2023) Deltamethrin and transfluthrin select for distinct transcriptomic responses in the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*. *Malar J*. 22(1): 341.
42. Lucas ER, Nagi SC, Egyir-Yawson A, Essandoh J, Dadzie S, Chabi J, Djogbenou LS, Medjigbodo AA, Edi CV, Ketoh GK, Koudou BG, Van'tHof AE, Rippon EJ, Pipini D, Harding NJ, Dyer NA, Louise TC, Clarkson CS, Kwaiatowski DP, Miles A, Donnelly MJ, Weetman D (2023) Genome-wide association studies reveal novel loci associated with pyrethroid and organophosphate resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* and *Anopheles coluzzii*. *Nat Commun*. 14(1): 1.
43. Kientega M, Clarkson CS, Traoré N, Hui TYJ, O'Loughlin S, Millogo AA, Epopa PS, Yao FA, Belem AG, Brenas J, Miles A, Burt A, Diabate A (2024) Whole-genome sequencing of major malaria vectors reveals the evolution of new insecticide resistance variants in a longitudinal study in Burkina Faso. *Malar J*. 23(1): 280.
44. Samake JN, Yared S, Hassen MA, Zohdy S, Carter TE (2024) Insecticide resistance and population structure of the invasive malaria vector, *Anopheles stephensi*, from Fiq, Ethiopia. *Sci Rep*. 14 (1): 27516.
45. Odero JO, Dennis TPW, Polo B, Nwezeobi J, Boddé M, Nagi SC, Hernandez-koutoucheva A, Nambunga IH, Bwanary H, Mkandawile G, Govella NJ, Kaindoa EW, Ferguson EO, Clarkson CS, Miles A, Lawniczak MK, Weetman D, Baldin F, Okumu F (2024) Discovery of Knock-Down Resistance in the Major

- African Malaria Vector *Anopheles funestus*. Mol Ecol. 33(22): e17542.
46. Al-Yazeedi T, Muhammad A, Irving H, Ahn SJ, Hearn J, Wondji CS (2024) Overexpression and nonsynonymous mutations of UDP-glycosyltransferases are potentially associated with pyrethroid resistance in *Anopheles funestus*. Genomics. 116(2): 110572.
47. Saizonou H, Impoinvil LM, Derilus D, Omoke D, Okeyo S, Dada N, Corredor C, Mulder N, Lenhart A, Ochomo E, Djogbenou LS (2024) Transcriptomic analysis of *Anopheles gambiae* from Benin reveals overexpression of salivary and cuticular proteins associated with cross-resistance to pyrethroids and organophosphates. BMC Genomics. 25(1): 134.
48. Ingham V, Nagi S (2024) Genomic profiling of insecticide resistance in malaria vectors: Insights into molecular mechanisms [preprint]. Res Sq. 14: rs.3.rs-3910702/v1.
49. Nagi SC, Ingham VA (2025) A multi-omic meta-analysis reveals novel mechanisms of insecticide resistance in malaria vectors. Commun Biol. 8(1): 456.
50. Gadj M, Hervé Raoul T, Kouamo MFM, Tchouakui M, Wondji MJ, Mugenzi LMJ, Irving H, Hearn J, Ibrahim SS, Wondji SC (2025) Genomic drivers of pyrethroid resistance escalation in the malaria vector *Anopheles funestus* across Africa. Mol Biol Evol. 42(10): msaf251.
51. Clarkson CS, Temple HJ, Miles A (2018) The genomics of insecticide resistance: insights from recent studies in African malaria vectors. Curr Opin Insect Sci. 27: 111–115.
52. Huang X, Kaufman PE, Athrey GN, Fredregill C, Alvarez C, Shetty V, Slotman MA (2023) Potential key genes involved in metabolic resistance to malathion in the southern house mosquito, *Culex quinquefasciatus* and functional validation of CYP325BC1 and CYP9M12 as candidate genes using RNA interference. BMC Genomics. 24(1): 160.
53. Ibrahim SS, Kouamo MFM, Muhammad A, Irving H, Riveron JM, Tchouakui M, Wondji CS (2024) Functional validation of endogenous redox partner CYP450 reductase reveals the key P450s CYP6P9a/-b as broad substrate metabolizers conferring cross-resistance to different insecticide classes in *Anopheles funestus*. Int J Mol Sci. 25(15): 8092.
54. Riveron JM, Irving H, Ndula M, Barnes KG, Ibrahim SS, Paine MJI, Wondji CS (2013) Directionally selected CYP450 alleles are driving the spread of pyrethroid resistance in the major malaria vector *Anopheles funestus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 110(1): 252–257.
55. Gong Y, Li T, Li Q, Liu S, Liu N (2022) The central role of multiple P450 genes and their co-factor CPR in the development of permethrin resistance in the mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus*. Front Physiol. 12: 780509.
56. Varela GM, García BA, Stroppa MM (2024) RNA interference of NADPH CYP450 increased deltamethrin susceptibility in a resistant strain of the Chagas disease vector *Triatoma infestans*. Acta Trop. 252: 107149.
57. Adedeji EO, Beder T, Damiani C, Cappelli A, Accoti A, Tapanelli S, Ogunlana OO, Fatumo S, Favia G, Koenig R, Adebisi E (2025) Correction: Combination of computational techniques and RNAi reveal targets in *Anopheles gambiae* for malaria vector control. PLoS One. 20(3): e0321231.
58. Dusfour I, Vontas J, David JP, Weetman D, Fonseca DM, Corbel V, Raghavendra K, Coulibaly MB, Martin AJ, Kasai S, Chandre F (2019) Management of insecticide resistance in the major *Aedes* vectors of arboviruses: advances and challenges. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 13(10): e0007615.

59. Thomsen EK, Strode C, Hemmings K, Hughes AJ, Chanda E, Musapa M, Kamuliwo M, Phiri FN, Muzia L, Chanda J, Kandyata A, Chirwa B, Poer K, Coleman M (2014) Underpinning sustainable vector control through informed insecticide resistance management. *PLoS One*. 9(6): e99822.
60. Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU (2024) Emerging public health strategies in malaria control: innovations and implications. *Ann Med Surg*. 86(11): 6576–6584.
61. Donnelly MJ, Isaacs AT, Weetman D (2016) Identification, validation and application of molecular diagnostics for insecticide resistance in malaria vectors. *Trends Parasitol*. 32(3): 197–206.
62. Aderoyeje TG, Erhuanga OG (2024) A review on the exploration of genomic approaches to malaria prevention and treatment in Nigeria. *J Appl Sci Environ Manage*. 28(9): 2937–2941.